Mollie K. Anderson, Director

I/3 Background October 7, 2005

Implementation

- 1. What is the status of the I/3 system? The I/3 budget, finance, procurement and data warehouse components are operational. The finance nightly cycle has been automated, improving I/3 system availability. An ongoing support system, including user groups, is working to define and prioritize needed improvements. I/3 system administrators are working to improve customer communication and working with the user groups to schedule changes to the system.
- 2. What challenges remain? We are currently addressing I/3 system issues related to:
 - Known software bugs,
 - System performance issues, and,
 - Availability of the data warehouse.

To address these challenges we are:

- A software upgrade for the Budget/Finance/Procurement modules was recently installed,
 - The upgrade focused on fixing known production software bugs,
- Our hardware environment is being upgraded,
- We are working on network stabilization,
- We are working on improving the data warehouse structure, access and usage, and,
- We are monitoring the I/3 technical infrastructure to ensure that adequate resources are available

3. What are the Expected Benefits?

- Improve access to accurate and complete information
- Enhance accountability
- Eliminate redundant systems
- Implement best practices
- Support business process redesign, eliminating paper and saving time
- Reduce maintenance and development time
- Reduce or eliminate interfaces
- 4. I/3 is an enabler of best practices and a tool for seeking improvements. The State will need to work at capturing the benefits by:
 - As staff become more proficient and effective training is developed/offered, productivity will begin to rise
 - Establishing Executive business sponsorship to coordinate with all departments to prioritize investments, make improvements to training, and prioritize system enhancement.
 - Re-engineering processes to take advantage of the capabilities of the new system.

Financing I/3

5. How much did the state expect to pay for the ERP implementation project?

- August 2000 ERP planning study completed. Estimated costs \$42 million
- Fall 2002 Budget for the 3 year project was estimated at \$13.8 million.
- 9/30/02 Signed contract with AMS for \$9.5 million with anticipated future amendments
- 3/2005 Contract amendments with CGI-AMS increased costs to \$11.5 million.

6. What have other States spent on their ERP implementation projects?

- **Tennessee** in planning stages. Conservative estimate for ERP acquisition and implementation is \$97 million.
- **Arkansas** Legislative Joint Auditing committee states that the ERP system cost "at least \$61 million".
- **Missouri** \$45 million spent by Office of Administration and an additional \$20 million for DOT
- Massachusetts ERP project estimated at \$64 million.

Vendor Commitment

7. Why was CGI-AMS selected during the RFP process? In reviewing the RFP responses, the review team determined that their bid met our "requirements" the best as well as having the best price.

8. Has the vendor been paid for all implemented modules?

No, there are several items that payment is being withheld pending successful delivery on implemented module functionality. There are:

- Several deliverables that haven't met the requirements
- A percentage of the project money that is held until the "punch list" (errors) items have been fixed
- System performance criteria that haven't been met

9. What is CGI-AMS doing to resolve the requirements not yet met?

- Focused personnel to address outstanding issues in the production system,
- Provided additional staff and investment other than just those items required by the contract,
- CGI-AMS continues to invest in Iowa, at a financial loss to CGI-AMS, in order to ensure the long-term success for the Advantage program and the State of Iowa.

October 7, 2005 Page 2